Provided for non-commercial research and education use.

Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article was published in an CASRP journal. The attached copy is furnished to the author for non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution, sharing with colleagues and providing to institution administration.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copied, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding CASRP's archiving and manuscript policies encouraged to visit:

http://www.casrp.co.uk/journals

© 2016 CASRP Publishing Company Ltd. UK.





### CASRP PUBLISHER

International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research 4(4) (2016) 319-326



Original Article Open Access

# Evaluation of Genetic Parameters in Agro-physiological Traits of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under Irrigated Condition

#### Ezatollah Farshadfar\*, Hossein Romena, Akbar Shabani

Campus of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran.



#### **Abstract**

Selection of genotypes based on high value of heritability and forecasted genetic conditions would be an effective method for improvement of wheat cultivars. The present investigation was carried out to estimate the genetic variability of agro-physiological characters using biometrical genetic techniques in 20 bread wheat genotypes under irrigated condition. For this purpose an experiment was conducted to estimate the genetic parameters of some agro- physiological traits and their relationship with yield under Irrigated conditions. High genetic variability was observed between the genotypes for the characters grain yield (GY), chlorophyll a (Chl a), chlorophyll ab (Chl ab), quantum yield (QY), performance index (PI), relative water loss (RWL) and leaf chlorophyll content (LCC), therefore direct selection is effective for improvement of these traits. Total chlorophyll content (Chl ab), chlorophyll b (Chl b) and PI revealed high heritability and co-heritability with yield. Hence, they can be used as indirect selection for the improvement of yield under irrigated condition.

Keywords: Diversity, Genetic parameters, Selection index, wheat.

© 2016 The Authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial- No Derives License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Received 18 November 2016 iThenticate screening 15 November 2016

Accepted 20 December 2016 English editing 10 December 2016 Available online 26 December 2016 Quality control 18 December 2016

#### 1. Introduction

Wheat becomes an important cereal which provides calories more and cheaply than any other crops (FAO, 2010). Demand of wheat is increasing by population growth and always be felt new method which can select high yielding varieties fast and accurate. Selection of genotypes based on high value of heritability and forecasted genetic conditions would be an effective method (Ghandorah & Shawaf, 1993). The development of an effective plant breeding program is dependent upon the existence of genetic variability. The efficiency of selection largely depense upon the magnitude of genetic variability present in the plant population. Thus the success of genetic

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Campus of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran.

improvement in any character depends on the nature of variability present in the gene pool for that character. Hence an insight into the magnitude of variability present in the gene pool of a crop species is of utmost importance to a plant breeder for starting a judicious plant breeding program. In earlier years the visual observations used to be the measure of variability in a plant population. Now biometrical methods are available for systematic assessment of variability (Frey, 1966; Singh and Narayanan, 1993). Selection among genotype would be effective only when we have high value of heritability and forecasted genetic conditions for yield and yield components (Ghandorah & Shawaf, 1993). In general, the main goal for all plant breeding programs is achieving high amounts of yield (Ehdaie and Waines, 1989) and in order to this point, having genetic diversity for the trait under selection with a higher heritability is essential (Falconer and Mackay, 1996). Our understanding about nature of traits and influences of genetic and environmental factors on desire traits also have important effects on breeding programs (Kashif et al, 2003; Ali and Awan, 2009; Mohammadi et al, 2010). Genetic potential and evaluation of diversity and stability performance are measured by some statistical parameters such as mean, variance, CV%, habitability and genetic gain (Firouzian, 2003; Ali et al, 2009). Grain yield is a complex multi component character and is greatly influenced by various environmental conditions. Various morphological and physiological characters contribute to grain yield. Each of these component characters has its own genetic systems. Further these yield components are influenced by environmental fluctuations. Therefore, it is necessary to separate the total variation into heritable and nonheritable components with the help of genetic parameters i.e. genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variation, heritability and genetic gain (Kahrizi et al, 2010). Knowledge of the genetic association between grain yield and physiological traits can help the breeders to improve the efficiency of selection. Therefore, it is important to study the relationships among the characters (Ehdaie & Waines 1989; Maxwell and Johnson, 2000; Flexas, et al, 2007). Quantitative traits with high genetic gain and high heritability are very important in selection of genotype at early stages of breeding programs (Memon et al, 2005). Using family selection method may led us to success in the case of selection for traits with low heritability and high interactions between genotypes and environment (Aycicek and Yildirim, 2006). The objectives of the present experiment were to estimate (i) the genetic parameters for the study of genetic diversity in some agro-physiological traits, and (ii) to study the association between the traits investigated and yield under irrigated conditions.

#### 2. Materials and methods

Twenty bread wheat genotypes were included in this study (Table 2). This experiment was conducted using a complete randomized block design (20 Genotypes×3 Replications) at Research field of campus of Agriculture and Natural Resources of Razi University, Iran, during 2011 to 2012 growing season. During grain-filling period photochemical efficiency or quantum yield (QY) and performance Index (PI) of the leaves were estimated with portable plant stress meter (PSM; Hansanthech, UK) according to Niari-Khamssi *et al*, (2010) on flag leaves. The measurement was performed at 10:00 am in order to avoid the effects of dew and air humidity. Steady state porometer (SC; Li-1600; LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE) was used for measurement of stomatal conductance (SC, mmolH<sub>2</sub>Om<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>). All the measurements were recorded on the flag well-lit leaf around mid day (10:00 am–16:00 pm). Relative water content (RWC) and relative water loss (RWL) were measured based on Barr and Weath erley (1969). Proline content (PC) was estimated based on Bates *et al*, (1973) method and chlorophyll content (Chl a, b, total) was estimated based on Arnon (1949) method. Grain yield per unit area (GY) for each treatment at each replicate was determined based on one m<sup>2</sup> of middle part of each plot which were hand harvested at maturity stage.

**Table 1**Analysis of variance for agro-physiological traits in wheat

		Mean Square											
SOV	df	GY	LCC	Chl a	Chl b	Chl ab	QY	PI	PC	SC	RWL	RWC	
Rep.	2	1271	11.83	0.57	0.211	2.31	30.23	18.27	0.019	998	4800 <sup>**</sup>	882.81**	
Gen.	19	28410**	38.14 <sup>*</sup>	6.58**	1.037**	9.38**	758**	371**	0.0062	1230	357**	164.98	
Error	38	11817	20.18	0.40	0.292	0.504	132	50.31	0.0061	1109	127	111.43	
CV%		14.12	9.44	10.40	25.59	9.27	22.74	18.73	40.06	25.76	17.73	20.40	

GY: Grain yield; LCC: Leaf chlorophyll content; Chl a: Chlorophyll a; Chlorophyll b; Chl ab: Total chlorophyll; QY: Quantum Yield; PI: Performance index; PC: Prolin content; SC: Stomal conduance; RWL: Relative water loss; RWC: Relative water content

**Table 2**Ranges, means, and LSD5% of the traits investigated in wheat genotypes

	·			Chl			••				
Gen	GY	LCC	Chl a	b	Chl ab	QY	PI	PC	SC	RWL	RWC
WC-4537	720.0	48.87	6.69	2.36	7.49	40.91	29.40	0.230	107.0	56.63	69.09
Wc- 4829	726.7	46.00	7.63	2.29	8.27	69.35	49.47	0.152	129.4	63.02	76.35
Wc- 4536	733.3	44.23	5.53	2.14	7.17	78.78	57.67	0.167	116.8	58.50	68.61
Wc-4937	990.0	56.97	6.13	2.59	7.25	29.45	28.80	0.125	127.5	59.52	78.02
WC-4594	763.3	50.03	4.38	2.30	7.09	47.47	36.13	0.239	131.3	63.72	70.22
WC-4924	803.3	49.93	6.73	2.79	6.68	29.73	23.60	0.272	144.5	52.89	74.83
WC-4888	880.0	40.80	5.26	2.79	7.96	21.53	18.73	0.199	114.3	61.74	94.63
WC-4823	900.0	49.90	7.44	2.82	7.64	43.02	38.33	0.228	89.1	61.31	71.10
WC-4827	686.7	47.63	5.68	2.39	6.54	51.76	35.27	0.164	131.4	59.67	62.51
WC-4582	753.3	48.67	3.97	1.24	5.83	53.87	40.73	0.112	144.5	99.88	55.05
WC-4889	773.3	48.20	4.79	1.49	5.56	41.65	32.13	0.246	133.2	63.81	67.27
WC-4515	773.3	47.27	4.65	0.73	5.55	46.10	35.00	0.161	107.5	66.15	54.20
WC-4780	713.3	45.57	4.45	2.62	6.62	83.33	59.33	0.173	115.7	62.68	77.12
WC-4592	620.0	49.73	6.06	1.29	8.36	40.39	24.33	0.137	146.5	63.24	69.20
WC-4610	700.0	46.90	6.08	1.81	9.12	53.04	36.33	0.248	131.3	66.10	60.72
WC-4992	803.3	45.37	4.53	2.07	7.44	44.35	35.53	0.178	131.5	58.69	65.52
WC-4995	790.0	51.97	7.81	2.64	7.55	49.69	38.73	0.227	105.2	48.57	82.07
WC-4573	756.7	47.27	10.08	2.17	7.94	56.99	43.13	0.190	167.0	60.68	67.93
WC-											
shahryar	920.0	44.40	6.55	2.16	13.75	65.21	56.93	0.234	170.7	84.54	64.43
WC-5047	586.7	42.10	6.54	1.55	9.35	64.14	37.67	0.206	140.7	60.89	67.01
LSD 5%	179.6	7.42	1.04	0.89	1.17	19.00	11.72	0.128	55.1	18.65	23.53
Min	586.7	40.8	4.0	0.7	5.5	21.5	18.7	0.1	89.1	48.6	54.2
Max	990.0	57.0	10.1	2.8	13.7	83.3	59.3	0.3	170.7	99.9	94.6

#### 2.1. Biometrical genetic analysis

The recorded data were subjected to analysis of variance using SAS V9.1 software to ascertain existence of variability among the genotypes.

The phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation (PCV and GCV), broad sense heritability ( $h^2_{bs}$ ), genetic gain and co-heritability were estimated according to (Farshadfar, 2010) from the components of variance and covariance as follows:

$$V_E = MS_e$$

$$V_G = \frac{MS_g - MS_e}{r}$$

$$V_P = V_G + V_E$$

$$PCV = 100 \sqrt{\sigma_p^2/\bar{x}}$$

$$GCV = 100 \sqrt{\sigma_g^2/\bar{x}}$$

$$ECV = 100 \sqrt{\sigma_E^2/\bar{x}}$$

$$h^2_{bs} = \sigma_g^2/\sigma_p^2$$

$$GG = (i. \sigma_g^2/\sqrt{\sigma_p^2})100/\overline{x}$$

$$E (MSPv) = \sigma e_1e_2 + r \sigma g_1g_2$$

$$E (MSPe) = \sigma e_1e_2$$

$$\sigma g_1g_2 = MSPv - MSPe/r$$

$$\sigma p_1p_2 = \sigma g_1g_2 + \sigma e_1e_2$$

$$\frac{GcovX_1X_2}{PcovX_1X_2} \times 100$$

$$Coheritability = \frac{PCOV_{XY}}{\sqrt{(PV_X.PV_Y)}}$$

$$r_g = \frac{GCOV_{XY}}{\sqrt{(GV_X.GV_Y)}}$$

$$r_e = \frac{ECOV_{XY}}{\sqrt{EV_X.EV_Y}}$$

Where, Ve = environmental variation, MSE = error mean square, Vg = genotypic variation, r = number of replication, Vp = phenotypic variation  $\overline{x}$  is the mean,  $\sigma^2_g$  is genetic variance,  $\sigma^2_p$  is phenotypic variance, PCV = phenotypic coefficient of variation, GCV = genotypic coefficient of variation, ECV = environmental coefficient of variation,  $h^2_{bs}$  = broadsense heritability, GG = genetec gain, the standard selection differential (i) for 5% selection intensity was 2.06,  $\sigma^2_{g(1,2)}$  = genetic covariance of characters 1 and 2,  $\sigma^2_{p(1,2)}$  is phenotypic covariance for characters 1 and 2,  $\sigma_{e_1e_2}$  = environment covariance of character 1 and 2,  $r_p$  = phenotypic correlation,  $r_g$  = genetic correlation, re= environmental correlation, PCOV  $r_{e_1e_2}$  = Phenotypic variance of X, PV $r_{e_1e_2}$  = Phenotypic variance of Y, GCOV  $r_{e_1e_2}$  = Genotypic variation between characters X and Y, GV $r_{e_1e_2}$  = Genotypic variance of X, GV $r_{e_1e_2}$  = Environmental covariation between characters X and Y, EV $r_{e_1e_2}$  = Environmental variance of Y.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Descriptive statistics and variability

Analysis of variance indicated significant differences for GY, ChI a, ChI ab, QY; PI, RWL and leaf chlorophyll content (LCC) (Table 1) indicating the existence of genetic diversity and desirable selection among wheat genotypes for these traits. Several researchers reported phenotypic divergence and extensive variation for physiological traits in wheat germplasems (Spagnoletti and Qualset, 1987; Jaradat, 1991; del Moral *et al*, 2003; Kashif and Khaliq, 2004).

Comparison of means showed that the genotypes Wc-4937, WC-shahryar, WC-4823 and WC-4888 had the highest grain yield, respectively. Range, means and values LSD of the traits for wheat genotypes are shown in Table 2. With regard to the means, it is obvious that genotypes with higher chl a, chl b chl ab, SC, RWC, QY and PI produce high grain yield (Table 2). Reduction of total biomass and subsequently reduced grain yield in irrigated conditions may be due to the considerable decrease in plant growth, photosynthesis and canopy structure (Bhatt and Rao, 2005; Ghobadi *et al*, 2012; Sankar *et al*, 2007). According to Fischer (2007), the rate of photosynthetic activity in plants such as wheat is a very important factor for increasing grain yield potential.

#### 3.2. Genetic variability

Phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation, broad sense heritability and genetic gain is presented in Table 3. Generally, GG, PCV and GCV were low for all the traits. The low broad sense heritability estimate indicates

low genetic potentials for the traits under investigation, high effect of the environment in determining measured traits and absence of predominant role of additive gene action. High broad sense heritability seems to be a suitable basis for a reliable selection of wheat genotypes (Kandasamy et al, 1989; Thiyagarajan, 1990). PC exhibited very low level of GG indicating high influence of environmental conditions on this trait under irrigated condition. The combination of high heritability and genetic gains are important indicators of the predominant role of additive gene action in characters (Manju and Sreelathakumary, 2002). Predictability of high performance and hence selection of materials based on the above criteria may lead to successful breeding program.

**Table 3**Estimation of genetic parameter for the traits under investigation

Traits	Mean	$\sigma^2$ G	$\sigma^{2}_{p}$	$\sigma^2_{e}$	Cov <sub>p(GY,i)</sub>	Cov <sub>G(GY,i)</sub>	h <sup>2</sup> bs	c-h <sup>2</sup> bs	PCV	GCV	GG
GY	770	5531	17348	11817			0.32		17.1	9.66	11.2
LCC	47.6	5.99	26.2	20.1	181	81.2	0.23	0.45	10.8	5.14	5.06
Chl a	6.05	2.06	2.46	0.40	11.8	3.67	0.84	0.31	25.9	23.7	44.7
Chl b	2.11	0.249	0.54	0.292	31.3	23	0.46	0.74	34.8	23.6	33.1
Chl ab	7.66	2.96	3.46	0.504	25.6	23.8	0.85	0.93	24.3	22.5	42.8
QY	50.5	209	341	132	-1074	-424	0.61	0.39	36.6	28.6	46.2
PI	37.9	107	157	50.3	-45.7	-94	0.68	2.06	33.1	27.3	46.4
PC	0.194	0.00004	0.006	0.0061	1.1	0.0006	0.01	0.001	39.9	3.26	0.548
SC	129	40.5	1150	1109	128	-417	0.04	-3.26	26.3	4.93	1.91
RWL	63.6	76.8	204	127	-109	187	0.38	-1.72	22.5	13.8	17.4
RWC	69.8	17.8	220	111.43	478	203	0.08	0.43	21.2	6.04	3.54

 $\sigma^2_G$ :Genotypic variance;  $\sigma^2_p$ :Phenotypic variance;  $h^2_{bs}$ : Broad sense heritability; c- $h^2_{bs}$ : Broad sense co-hertability; PCV: Phenotypic coefficient of variation; GCV: Genotypic coefficient of variation; GG: genetic gain

#### 3.3. P and G-matrices

Evolution by natural selection requires heritable variation. The most common way to represent the pattern and magnitude of the genetic basis of a series of traits is the genetic variance—covariance matrix, also known as the G-matrix. G-matrix is extremely useful for predicting the response to selection over the short term. A population will evolve most rapidly along axes that have the most genetic variation, and more slowly in directions with little genetic variance. Because G accounts for genetic covariance as well, G can also help predict the indirect response to selection on one character from selection on another trait. If the genetic covariance between two traits is different from zero, selection on one trait will affect response to selection on the other (Guillaume and Whitlock, 2007). According to the results (Table 4), the highest genetic and phenotypic covariance observed between SC and GY (-417, 128), RWL and GY (187, 109) and QY and GY (-424, -1074) traits, respectively. High values of genetic and/or phenotypic covariance between two traits may represent a high level of variation (genetic, phenotypic or both) between two traits. High values of genetic variation in breeding programs can be very useful. Also result suggested that selection for low quantity of QY and high value of SC and RWL will increase GY indirectly under irrigated condition.

Table 4

Phenotypic (Lower off-diagonal matrix) and genotypic (Upper off-diagonal matrix) covariance matrix

THEHOL	Thenotypic (Lower on-diagonal matrix) and genotypic (opper on-diagonal matrix) covariance matrix											
	GY	LCC	Chl a	Chl b	Chl ab	QY	Pi	PC	SC	RWL	RWC	
GY		81.2	3.67	23.0	23.8	-424	-94.1	0.001	-417	187	203	
LCC	181		0.807	0.277	-2.11	-22.1	-12.8	-0.036	-7.80	-7.31	-0.592	
Chl a	11.8	0.449		0.306	0.976	1.118	1.131	0.012	5.14	-5.84	3.43	
Chl b	31.3	0.287	0.281		0.108	-1.314	-0.121	0.008	-1.77	-2.81	3.20	
Chl ab	25.6	-1.77	0.852	0.186		6.380	5.91	0.012	15.7	3.99	-0.053	
QY	-1074	-21.3	0.311	-0.708	6.18		144	-0.119	72.3	30.1	-48.7	
PI	-45.7	-7.73	0.621	0.620	5.72	208		-0.071	37.8	34.3	-32.0	
PC	1.100	0.028	0.020	0.010	0.035	-0.148	-0.067		0.046	-0.238	0.031	
SC	128	-13.2	5.91	-5.4	17.7	-13.6	5.99	-0.201		96.5	-49.6	
RWL	-109	-3.52	-5.74	-3.38	4.04	45.4	33.3	-0.012	85.2		-20.4	
RWC	478	-0.285	2.45	5.47	2.89	-23.9	-5.33	0.127	-58.6	-112		

#### 3.4. Co-heritability

The lower diagonal of Table 5 has the co-heritability values for pairs of characters. The range of co-heritability was from -3.26 (between SC and GY) to 19.27 (between PC and RWL). The negative co-heritability between SC and GY declared that selection based on lower value of SC will increase grain yield indirectly. High co-heritability was observed between PC and RWL (19.27), PI and SC (6.32) and PI and RWC (6.00). This suggests that selection of either of the characters would simultaneously affect the others positively. Romena and Najaphy (2012) suggested that improving grain yield is related to the balance of SC and RWC in wheat under rain-fed condition. Many researchers reported that low Co<sub>2</sub> uptake is the consequence of decreasing in relative water content of leaves and stomatal conductance (Chaves *et al*, 2002; Cornic and Massacci, 1996; Fischer, 2007; Krause and Weis, 1991; Lawlor, 1995; Lawlor and Cornic, 2002).

**Table 5** co-heritability estimates between the traits under study

	GY	LCC	Chla	Chlb	Chlab	QY	Pi	PC	SC	RWL
LCC	0.449									
Chla	0.310	1.80								
Chlb	0.737	0.967	1.09							
Chlab	0.928	1.20	1.15	0.583						
QY	0.394	1.04	3.60	1.86	1.03					
PI	2.06	1.65	1.82	-0.195	1.03	0.691				
PC	0.001	-1.30	0.590	0.865	0.347	0.808	1.06			
SC	-3.26	0.589	0.871	0.327	0.889	-5.33	6.32	-0.228		
RWL	-1.72	2.08	1.02	0.831	0.987	0.66	1.03	19.27	1.13	
RWC	0.425	2.07	1.40	0.585	-0.018	2.04	6.00	0.244	0.846	0.182

#### 4. Conclusion

Breeding programs depend on the knowledge of key traits, genetic systems controlling their inheritance, and genetic and environmental factors that influence their expression. The genetic variation for the trait under selection and a higher heritability are necessary to have response to selection. The study of components of genetic variance helps in further partitioning of genetic vatiance into additive, dominance and epistatic components. The magnitude of these components is a measure of the type of gene action involved in the expression of various traits. Information about gene action helps in deciding a breeding procedure for the genetic improvement of a trait.

High genetic variability was observed between the genotypes for the characters GY, Chl a, Chl ab, QY, PI, RWL and LCC, therefore direct selection is effective for improvement of these traits.

Total chlorophyll content (Chlab), Chl b and PI revealed high heritability and co-heritability with yield. Hence, they can be used as indirect selection for the improvement of yield under irrigated condition.

#### References

- Ali, M.A., Awan, S.I. (2009). Inheritance pattern of seed and lint traits in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum). Inernationalt Journal of Agriculture and Bioogyl, 11(1):44-48.
- Ali, M.A., Abbas, A., Niaz, S., Zulkiffal, M., Ali, S. (2009). Morpho-physiological criteria for drought tolerance in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) at seedling and post-anthesis stages. Inernationalt Journal of Agriculture and Bioogy, 11(6):674-680.
- Arnon, D.I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in Beta vulgaris. Plant physiology, 24(1): 1.
- Aycicek, M.E.H.M.E.T., Yildirim, T.E.L.A.T. (2006). Heritability of yield and some yield components in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) genotypes. Bangladesh Journal of Botany, 35(1):17-22.
- Barr, H. D., Weatherley, P. E. (1962). A re-examination of the relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficit in leaves. Australian journal of Biological Sciences, 15: 413-428.

- Bates, L.S., Waldren, R.P., Teare, I.D. (1973). Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. Plant and soil, 39(1):205-207.
- Bhatt, R.M., Srinivasa Rao, N.K. (2005). Influence of pod load on response of okra to water stress. Indian journal of plant physiology, 10(1):54-59.
- Boote, K.J., Kropff, M.J., Bindraban, P.S. (2001). Physiology and modelling of traits in crop plants: implications for genetic improvement. Agricultural Systems, 70(2):395-420.
- Chaves, M.M. (1991). Effects of water deficits on carbon assimilation. Journal of Experimental Botany, 42(1):1-16.
- Chaves, M.M., Pereira, J.S., Maroco, J., Rodrigues, M.L., Ricardo, C.P.P., Osorio, M.L., Pinheiro, C. (2002). How plants cope with water stress in the field? Photosynthesis and growth. Annals of Botany, 89(7):907-916.
- Cornic, G., Massacci, A. (1996). Leaf photosynthesis under drought stress. In: Baker, N.R. (Ed.), Advances in Photosynthesis: Photosynthesis and the Environment, vol. 5. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 347–366.
- Cornic, G. (1994). Drought stress and high light effects on leaf photosynthesis. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis, 297-313.
- del Moral, L.F., Rharrabti, Y., Villegas, D., Royo, C. (2003). Evaluation of grain yield and its components in durum wheat under Mediterranean conditions. Agronomy Journal, 95(2):266-274.
- Ehdaie, B., Waines, J.G. (1989). Genetic variation, heritability and path-analysis in landraces of bread wheat from southwestern Iran. Euphytica, 41(3):183-190.
- Falconer, D.S., Mackay, T.F., Frankham, R. (1996). Introduction to Quantitative Genetics (4th edn). Trends in Genetics, 12(7):280.
- Firouzian, A. (2003). Heritability and genetic advance of grain yield and its related traits in wheat. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 6(24):2020-2023.
- Fischer, R.A. (2007). Understanding the physiological basis of yield potential in wheat. Journal of Agricultural Science, 145(2):99-113.
- Flexas, J., Ribas-Carbo, M.I.Q.U.E.L., Diaz-Esoejo, A.N.T.O.N.I.O., Galmes, J., Medrano, H. (2007). Mesophyll conductance to CO2: current knowledge and future prospects. Plant, Cell & Environment, 31(5):602-621.
- Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations, 2010. FAOSTAT 2010 Available at http://faostat.fao.org/site/368/DesktopDefault.aspx?PageID¼368#ancor.
- Ghandorah, M.O., El-Shawaf, I.I.S. (1993). Genetic variability, heritability estimates and predicted genetic advance for some character in Faba bean. Journal of King Saud University Wheat Journal of Agriultural Research, 28(3): 193-200.
- Ghobadi, M.E., Felehkari, H., Mohammadi, G.R., Honarmand, S.J. The effects of supplemental irrigation and Napplications on yield and yield component in two wheat cultivars in Kermanshah condition. Annals of Biological Research, 3:2118-2126.
- Guillaume, F., Whitlock, M.C. (2007). Effects of migration on the genetic covariance matrix. Evolution, 61(10): 2398-2409.
- Hunt, L.A., Reynolds, M.P., Sayre, K.D., Rajaram, S., White, J.W., Yan, W. (2003). Crop modeling and the identification of stable coefficients that may reflect significant groups of genes. Agronomy Journal, 95(1):20-31.
- Jaradat, A.A. (1991). Phenotypic divergence for morphological and yield-related traits among landrace genotypes of durum wheat from Jordan. Euphytica, 52(3):155-164.
- Kandasamy, G., Kadambavansundram, M. Rajasekaran, S. (1989). Variability in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) under different environmental conditions. Madras Agricultural Journal. 76:197-199.
- Kashif, M. Khaliq, I. (2004). Heritability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for some metric traits in wheat. Inernationalt Journal of Agriculture and Bioogy. 6(1):138-142.
- Kashif, M., Ahmad, J., Chowdhry, M. A., Perveen, K. (2003). Study of genetic architecture of some important agronomic traits in durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.). Asian Journal of Plant Sceince. 2:708-712.
- Krause, G.H., Weis, E. (1991). Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis: the basics. Annual review of plant biology. 42(1):313-349.
- Lawlor, D.W. (1995). The effects of water deficit on photosynthesis. Environment and Plant metabolism. 129-160.
- Lawlor, D.W., Cornic, G. (2002). Photosynthetic carbon assimilation and associated metabolism in relation to water deficits in higher plants. Plant, Cell & Environment. 25(2):275-294.

- Manju R. R. Sreelathakumary I. (2002). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advances in hot chilli (Capsicum chinense JACQ.). Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 40:40-46.
- Martre, P., Porter, J.R., Jamieson, P.D., Triboï, E. (2003). Modeling grain nitrogen accumulation and protein composition to understand the sink/source regulations of nitrogen remobilization for wheat. Plant Physiology. 133(4):1959-1967.
- Maxwell, K., Johnson, G.N. (2000). Chlorophyll fluorescence—a practical guide. Journal of experimental botany. 51(345):659-668.
- Memon, S.M., Ansari, B.A., Balouch, M.Z. (2005). Estimation of genetic variation for agroeconomic traits in spring wheat wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Indian Journal of Plant Science. 4:171-175.
- Miflin, B. (2000). Crop improvement in the 21st century. Journal of experimental botany. 51(342):1-8.
- Mohammadi, R., Armion, M., Kahrizi, D., Amri, A. (2010). Efficiency of screening techniques for evaluating durum wheat genotypes under mild drought conditions. International Journal of Plant Production. 4(1), 11-24.
- Niari-Khamssi, N., Gassemi K., Zehtab, S. Najaphy A. (2010). Effects of water deficit stress on field performance of chickpea cultivars. African. Journal of Agricultural Research. 5:1973-1977.
- Romena, M.H., Najaphy, A. Physiological characteristics and grain yield of wheat under irrigated and rain-fed conditions. Annals of Biological Research. 3 (7):3178-3182.
- Sankar, B., Jaleel, C.A., Manivannan, P., Kishorekumar, A., Somasundaram, R., Panneerselvam R. (2007). Drought induced biochemical modifications and proline metabolism in Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench Acta Botanica Croat. 66:43–56.
- Sinclair, T.R., Purcell, L.C., Sneller, C.H. (2004). Crop transformation and the challenge to increase yield potential. Trends in plant science. 9(2):70-75.
- Singh, R. K., Chaudhary, B.D. (1985). Triple Test Cross. Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis. 93-101.
- Steduto, P., Hsiao, T.C., & Fereres, E. (2007). On the conservative behavior of biomass water productivity. Irrigation Science. 25(3):189-207.
- Thiyagarajan K. (1990). Genetic variability in cowpea. Agricultural Science Digest. 10:8-10.
- Wollenweber, B., Porter, J.R., Lübberstedt, T. (2005). Need for multidisciplinary research towards a second green revolution. Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 8(3):337-341.
- Yin, X., Struik, P.C., Kropff, M.J. (2004). Role of crop physiology in predicting gene-to-phenotype relationships. Trends in Plant Science. 9(9):426-432.
- Zeuli, P.L., Qualset, C.O. (1987). Geographical Diversity fo Quantitative Spike Characters in a World Collection of Durum Wheat. Crop science. 27(2):235-241.

How to cite this article: Ezatollah Farshadfar, Hossein Romena, Akbar Shabani., 2016. Evaluation of Genetic Parameters in Agro-physiological Traits of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under Irrigated Condition. International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research, 4(4), 319-326.

## Submit your next manuscript to CASRP Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on accentance
- Inclusion in Google Scholar
- Research which is freely a redistribution

CASH.

Submit your manuscript at www.casrp.co.uk/journals